

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/845,643	04/30/2001	Srikar Rao	Rao - utility	3965
75	90 02/27/2002			
Michael B. McNeil Liell & McNeil Attorneys PC P.O. Box 2417			EXAMINER	
			WONG, STEVEN B	
Bloomington, IN 47402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/845,643

Applicant(s)

Rao

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Steven Wong

Art Unit 3711



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 30, 2	001
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1-12	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	
7)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
application from the International Bure. *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e been received. e been received in Application No couments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/845,643

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoyt et al. in view of Giglio. Regarding claims 1, 6 and 11, Hoyt et al. disclose a golf ba¹¹ marker and holder system comprising a clip (10) sized for attachment to a shoe (14) and a ball marker (30) having a solid face removably attached to the clip. However, Hoyt et al. disclose a marker which is attached to the clip by a pin (28) which is received by a orifice (26) instead of a magnetic arrangement as instantly claimed.

Giglio reveals a ball marker and holder system comprising a clip (20) and a ball marker (24) which is attached to the clip by a magnet (16). The marker is made from a ferrous material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the ball marker with the post and orifice arrangement of Hoyt et al. with the magnetic arrangement taught by Giglio in order to provide an alternative arrangement for removably attaching the ball marker to the clip of Hoyt et al.

Regarding claims 2, 8 and 12, the ball marker of Giglio provides a recessed cavity (14) positioned to receive the ball marker.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 3 and 7, the ball marker arrangement of Giglio provides the clip with a magnetic portion and the ball marker with a ferrous portion.

Claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoyt et al. in view of Giglio and Kennedy. Regarding claims 4 and 9, Giglio provides a means (32) for removing the ball marker from cavity.

Kennedy reveals a golf mark retaining device including a recess (16) and an indentation (60) for assisting in grasping the ball marker. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the means (32) of Giglio for removing the ball marker from the cavity with the indentation of Kennedy in order to assist the user in removing the ball marker from the cavity.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Giglio states that it is well known in the art to provide indicia on the top surface of ball markers such as the name of a golf equipment manufacturer (column 2, lines 8-11).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3135.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,643

Art Unit: 3711

Official responses, subject to the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d), can be faxed to (703) 305-3579.

Unofficial faxes which are meant for discussion purposes only should be sent to (703) 308-7768. It is strongly suggested that the examiner be contacted directly before sending any unofficial fax.

Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW February 22, 2002